SYNTHESIS OF 16,16-(2-FLUOROTRIMETHYLENE)-PROSTAGLANDINS
AND 16,16-(2,2-DIFLUOROTRIMETHYLENE)-PROSTAGLANDINS

Hisao NAKAI, Nobuyuki HAMANAKA, and Masayasu KURONO*
Research Institute, Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.,
3-1-1, Sakurai, Shimamoto-cho, Mishima-gun, Osaka 618

Synthesis of the new prostaglandin(PG) analogs, 16,16-(2-fluorotrimethylene)-PGE $_2$ and -PGE $_1$, 16,16-(2,2-difluorotrimethylene)-PGE $_2$ and -PGE $_1$ is reported. These new PG analogs have higher biological activities but lower side-effects than the natural PGs.

16,16-Trimethylene-prostaglandins show very strong activities $^{1)}$, since these PG analogs block the action of 15-hydroxy-PG dehydrogenase $^{2)}$. Although the potential importance of these active PG analogs is becoming apparent, the side-effects, i.e. production of diarrhea, of such analogs are a serious problem. We now report the synthesis of 16,16-(2-fluorotrimethylene)-PGEs($\underline{1a}$, $\underline{2a}$ and $\underline{3a}$) and 16,16-(2,2-difluorotrimethylene)-PGEs($\underline{1b}$, $\underline{2b}$ and $\underline{3b}$) as fluorine containing 16,16-trimethylene-PGs possessing higher biological activities but lower side-effects.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{O} \\ \text{OH} \end{array}$$

Synthetic routes are as follows. The phosphonates $\underline{4a}$ and $\underline{4b}$ were prepared by the following series of reactions. Butylation of the known cyclobutane carboxylic acid $\underline{5}^{3)}$ by the treatment with (i-Pr)₂NLi(2.1 equiv) in THF below 0°C followed by n-BuBr(2.1 equiv) gave $\underline{6}^{4)}$ [MS m/e 262 (M⁺)] quantitatively. Treatment of the acid 6 with MeOH-HCl followed by catalytic hydrogenolysis over Pd

$$(cH_3^0)_{2P}^{0}$$
 $(cH_3^0)_{2P}^{0}$
 $(cH_$

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{14a} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^H, \ R^5 = p - C_6 H_5 C_6 H_4 CO, \ R^6 = 0, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{14b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = p - C_6 H_5 C_6 H_4 CO, \ R^6 = 0, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{15a} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^H, \ R^5 = p - C_6 H_5 C_6 H_4 CO, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{15b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = p - C_6 H_5 C_6 H_4 CO, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{16a} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^H, \ R^5 = H, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{16b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = H, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{17a} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{17b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = 0 \\ \underline{18a} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^H, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^2 = \zeta_F^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^2 = \zeta_O^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^2 = \zeta_O^F, \ R^5 = THP, \ R^6 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H \\ \underline{18b} \ R^7 = \zeta_O^H, \ R^$$

R2
R4

$$5 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ M} \text{ COCH}_2 \text{ M}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OH}, \text{ R}^4 = \text{H}$$
 $6 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ COCH}_2 \text{ M}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OH}, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$
 $7 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ COCH}_2 \text{ M}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OCH}_3, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$
 $8 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ CH}, \text{ COCH}_2 \text{ M}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OCH}_3, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$
 $9 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ CH}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OCH}_3, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$
 $10 \text{ R}^2 = 0, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OCH}_3, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$
 $11 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ CH}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OCH}_3, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$
 $12 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ CH}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OCH}_3, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$
 $12 \text{ R}^2 = 5 \text{ CH}, \text{ R}^3 = \text{OCH}_3, \text{ R}^4 = \text{n-Bu}$

OTHP

OTHP

DTHP

19a
$$R^2 = \zeta_F^H$$
, $R^8 = \zeta_{OH}^H$, $R^9 = H$

19b $R^2 = \zeta_F^H$, $R^8 = \zeta_{OH}^H$, $R^9 = H$

20a $R^2 = \zeta_F^H$, $R^8 = 0$, $R^9 = H$

20b $R^2 = \zeta_F^H$, $R^8 = 0$, $R^9 = H$

21a $R^2 = \zeta_F^H$, $R^8 = 0$, $R^9 = CH_3$

21b $R^2 = \langle F, R^8 = 0, R^9 = CH_2 \rangle$

$$\underline{22b} \ R^2 = <_F^F, \ R^8 = <_{OH}^H, \ R^9 = CH_3$$

$$\underline{23b} \ R^2 = <_F^F, \ R^8 = 0, \ R^9 = CH_3$$

in AcOH at 25°C and then hydrolysis in MeOH with 1N aq NaOH(1.3 equiv) at 25°C for 2 $\rm h^{5)}$ gave a hydroxy ester 9 quantitatively[bp 97-103°C/1 Torr; MS m/e 168(M⁺-H₂0)]. The hydroxy ester 9 was oxidized with $(CH_3)_2$ S-Cl₂ in CCl_4 -CH₂Cl₂ at -25°C for 2 h followed by treatment with Et_3 N⁶⁾ to give a keto ester $\underline{10}$ [MS m/e 185(M⁺+1)] quantitatively. Fluorination of $\underline{9}$ and $\underline{10}$ with SF₄ in CHCl₃ using a trace of EtOH as a catalyst 7 gave 11^{3} [MS m/e 188(M⁺)] and 12 [MS m/e 207(M⁺+1)] in 34% and 87% yields respectively. Treatment of 11 and 12 with (MeO) POCH2Li(2.4 equiv) in THF at -78°C for 30 min and then at 20°C for 1 h gave the desired fluorophosphonates 4a[bp 60-65°C/2-3 Torr; MS m/e 280(M⁺)] and 4b[MS m/e 299(M^{\dagger} +1), 298(M^{\dagger})] in 67% and 70% yields respectively. Condensation of 4a and 4bwith $(-)\beta$ -p-phenylbenzoyloxyaldehyde 13⁸⁾ in DME for 40 min at 20°C gave the enones 14a[mp 126-127°C; MS m/e $504(M^{\dagger})$] and $14b[mp\ 119-120^{\circ}C;\ MS\ m/e\ 522(M^{\dagger})]$ in 68% and 73% yields respectively. Reduction with NaBH $_{4}$ in MeOH-THF at -30°C for 15 min of enones $\underline{14a}$ and $\underline{14b}$ afforded epimeric mixtures(the ratio of 15% to $15\% = 70:30^9$) of enols <u>15a</u> and <u>15b</u> in quantitatively. Pure $15\% - \underline{15a}$ and $15\% - \underline{15b}$ were isolated by fractional crystallization from CCl_A followed by EtOH in 53% and 67% yields respectively 10 : 15%-15a[mp 161-162°C; MS m/e 290(M⁺-216); [%]_D -77.5°(c 2.72, CHC1₃)] and 15%-15b[mp 162-163°C; MS m/e $524(M^{+})$; [d] $_{\rm D}^{25}$ -88.6°(c 1.63, CHCl $_{3}$)]. Deacylation of $150-\underline{15a}$ and $150-\underline{15b}$ with ${\rm K_2CO_3}(1.0~{\rm equiv})$ in MeOH-THF at 20°C for 15 min gave diols $\underline{16a}$ [MS m/e 326 (M⁺)] and $\underline{16b}$ [MS m/e 345 (M⁺+1)] in 99% and 90% yields respectively. Treatment of $\underline{16a}$ and $\underline{16b}$ with DHP in CH₂Cl₂ at 20°C for 15 min in the presence of p-TsOH gave bis-THP ethers 17a[MS m/e 392(M⁺-THPOH)] and 17b[MS m/e 428(M⁺-DHP)] quantitatively. Reduction of $\underline{17a}$ and $\underline{17b}$ with (i-Bu)₂AlH(4.0 equiv) in toluene at -78°C for 30 min gave hemiacetals 18a and 18b which were immediately used for the Wittig reaction without purification. Condensation of 18a and 18b with 9₃P=CH(CH₂)₃COONa in DMSO for 4 h at 20°C gave bis-THP ethers 19a[MS m/e $406 \, (\text{M}^{+}-184)$] and $19b \, [\text{MS m/e } 394 \, (\text{M}^{+}-2xTHPOH)]$ in $88\% \, (\text{from } 16a)$ and $91\% \, (\text{from } 16b)$ yields respectively. Oxidation of $\underline{19a}$ and $\underline{19b}$ with CrO_3 reagent $^{11)}$ followed by removal of THP groups with AcOH- H_2 O-THF at 37°C for 2.5 h afforded 16,16-(2-fluorotrimethylene)-PGE $_2$ $\underline{1a}$ and 16,16-(2,2-difluorotrimethylene) trimethylene)-PGE $_2$ $\underline{1b}$ in 22% and 30% over-all yields from $\underline{19a}$ and $\underline{19b}$ respectively: $\underline{1a}$ [MS m/e 392] $\text{(M$^+$-$H$_2$O)$; [$\%]}_D^{26} \ \ -67.5^{\circ} \text{(c 1.38, CHCl$_3$)]} \ \ \text{and} \ \ \underline{1b} \text{[MS m/e 410 (M$^+$-H_2$O)$; [$\%]}_D^{26} \ \ -52.7^{\circ} \text{(c 1.47, CHCl$_3$)]}.$ Methylation of 20a and 20b with CH₂N₂ followed by removal of THP groups with AcOH-H₂O-THF gave 16,16-(2-fluorotrimethylene)-PGE methyl ester $\underline{2a}$ and 16,16-(2,2-difluorotrimethylene)-PGE methyl ester $\underline{2b}$ in 71% and 52% over-all yields from $\underline{20a}$ and $\underline{20b}$ respectively: $\underline{2a}$ [MS calcd m/e 406.2519 (M⁺-H₂O), found m/e 406.2507; $[d]_D^{16}$ -84.0°(c 2.00, CHCl₃)] and $\underline{2b}[MS \text{ calcd m/e } 424.2424(M^+-H_2O), \text{ found m/e } 424.2442;$ [4] $_{\rm D}^{26}$ -63.0°(c 1.56, CHC1 $_{\rm 3}$)]. Selective reduction of the cis- $_{\Delta}^{5}$ bond of methyl esters $_{\rm 19a}$ and $_{\rm 19b}$ followed by the essentially same procedures as applied to $\underline{1a}$ and $\underline{1b}$ gave 16,16-(2-fluorotrimethylene)- PGE_1 methyl ester 3a and 16,16-(2,2-difluorotrimethylene)- PGE_1 methyl ester 3b in 56% and 80% overall yields from $\underline{19a}$ and $\underline{19b}$ respectively: $\underline{3a}$ [MS calcd m/e 408.2675 (M⁺-H₂O), found m/e 408.2663] and 3b [MS calcd m/e 426.2581(M $^+$ -H₂O), found m/e 426.2596].

These new 16,16-(fluorotrimethylene)-PGs have a higher biological activities but lower side-effects than natural PGs: e.g. 16,16-(2-fluorotrimethylene)-PGE₁ methyl ester 3a is 5 times more potent in uterine contractile activity in the pregnant rats, but less potent(ca. 1/2) in diarrhea-producing activity in mice after oral administration than natural PGE₁.

References and Notes

- (a) M. Kurono, H. Nakai, and T. Muryobayashi, Ger. Offen. 2510818(1975), Japan. Appl. 74-28544,
 14 Mar 1974; Chem. Abstr., <u>84</u>, 58751w(1976).
 (b) J. S. Skotnicki, R. E. Schaub, M. J. Weiss, and F. Dessy, J. Med. Chem., 20, 1042(1977).
- 2) (a) E. Änggård, and B. Samuelsson, Ark. Kem., <u>25</u>, 293(1966). (b) J. Nakano, E. Änggård, and B. Samuelsson, Eur. J. Biochem., 11, 386(1969).
- 3) K. B. Wiberg, G. M. Lampman, R. P. Ciula, D. S. Connor, P. Shertler, and J. Lavanish, Tetrahedron, 21, 2749(1965). Although the compound 5 was separable mixture of cis- and trans-isomer(ca. 1:1), the compound 11 was a single product from ¹⁹F nmr spectrum: ¹⁹F nmr(δ from CF₃COOH): 86.5-88.3(1F, J=10.0, 10.0, 23.0, 23.0 and 56.0 Hz).
- 4) Satisfactory analytical data(ir, pmr and mass spectra) were obtained for all new compounds.
- 5) After hydrogenolysis isolated products were the mixture of 8 and 9.
- 6) E. J. Corey and C. U. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 7586(1972).
- 7) W. R. Hasek, W. C. Smith, and V. A. Engelhardt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 543(1960).
- 8) E. J. Corey, S. M. Albonico, U. Koelliker, T. K. Schaaf, and R. K. Varma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>93</u>, 1491(1971).
- 9) The product ratio was estimated by isolated yields after chromatography on silica gel.
- 10) Pmr, ir and mass spectra of 15^{β} - $\underline{15a}$ and 15β - $\underline{15b}$ are identical with those of 15%- $\underline{15a}$ and 15%- $\underline{15b}$ but R_f value of t1c and specific rotation are different: R_f(15%- $\underline{15a}$ and 15%- $\underline{15b}$) 0.37(Et₂O), R_f(15%- $\underline{15a}$ and 15%- $\underline{15b}$) 0.47(Et₂O); $[\infty]_D^{19}(15\%$ - $\underline{15a}$) -56.4°(c 3.75, CHCl₃); $[\infty]_D^{25}(15\%$ - $\underline{15b}$) -72.8° (c 1.30, CHCl₃).
- 11) L. F. Fieser and M. Fieser, "Reagents for Organic Synthesis", John Willy & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1967, p143.

(Received November 7, 1978)